

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

JUSTIN C. HEIMBACH,
Plaintiff,

v.

RONALD HILL,
Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 21-CV-3964

ORDER

AND NOW, this 3rd day of February, 2022, upon consideration of *pro se* Plaintiff C. Heimbach's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 7), it is **ORDERED** that:

1. The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to add "Hill Enterprise" as a Defendant in this case in accordance with the caption of the Amended Complaint.

2. The Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED IN PART WITH PREJUDICE AND IN PART WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for the reasons stated in the Court's Memorandum as follows:

- a. Claims barred by the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**;
 - b. Heimbach's remaining federal claims are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (e)(2)(B)(ii); and
 - c. Heimbach's state law claims will be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
3. Heimbach is not granted leave to file a second amended complaint in this matter.
4. The Clerk of Court shall **CLOSE** this case.

BY THE COURT:

/s/Joel H. Slomsky, J.

JOEL H. SLOMSKY, J.